



Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting Agenda

July 10, 2024, 6:00 pm

Council Chambers, City Hall, 201 S. Franklin St.

Online viewing location:

<https://www.youtube.com/user/KirksvilleCity>

Call Meeting to Order

Roll Call

Order of the Agenda:

Staff Report of additions or changes

Motion (and Second) to approve the order of the agenda

Vote – Ayes / Nays / Abstain

Minutes:

Minutes of the regular meeting on June 27, 2024

Motion (and Second) to approve minutes

Chair asks for corrections

Vote – Ayes / Nays / Abstain

Old Business:

None

New Business:

1. KAMP Striping Plans

- a. Recommended Motion - To recommend City Council approve Kirksville Active Mobility Plan (KAMP) striping plans for sections of North Lincoln Street, West Cottonwood Street, Cottage Grove Avenue, East Patterson Street, and Halliburton Street.
- b. Commission Discussion
- c. Citizen Questions/Input
- d. Vote – Roll Call

Staff Comments:

None

Citizen Participation

(Time Limit of Five Minutes) Citizen participation is for suggestions and comments on items affecting the Planning & Zoning Commission and the City, but are not on the agenda. Action by the Commission other than acknowledgment is not expected at the same meeting. Citizens may address the Commission on topics which are part of the regular agenda when these items are discussed by the Commission. Citizens must add their signature to the Citizen Participation Sign-In Sheet and announce their name before they begin speaking. The Commission does like to follow up with citizens and request citizens willing to leave a form of contact.

Adjournment

Notice of Nondiscrimination:

All persons within the City of Kirksville are free and equal and shall be entitled to the following equal use and enjoyment within the city at any place of public accommodation without discrimination or segregation on account of age, ancestry, color, disability, gender, gender identity, marital status, national origin, race, religion, sexual orientation or on any other basis that would be in violation of any applicable federal, state, or local law.

Notice of Disability Accommodations:

Any person with a disability desiring reasonable accommodation to attend this meeting may contact the City Clerk at 660.627.1225 to make such arrangements.

PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION
MINUTES OF June 27, 2024

PRESENT:

Dan Martin, Chair
Jeremy Hopkins, Vice Chair
Chuck Heckert
Jason Chrisman
Betty McLane-Iles

Patricia Sexton
Bruce Thompson
William Robb
Ashley Young, Assistant City Manager
Rodney Sadler, Deputy City Manager

ABSENT:

William Robb

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Martin called the meeting of the Planning & Zoning Commission in the City Council Chambers to order at 6:00 p.m.

ORDER OF THE AGENDA

Chair Martin asked if there were any changes to the agenda. Mr. Young stated there were none. Mr. Bansal made a motion to approve the agenda. Dr. Sexton seconded the motion. The agenda was approved with the following vote: Aye: Bansal, Chrisman, Heckert, Hopkins, McLane-Iles, Sexton, Thompson, Martin. Nay: none. Abstain: none. Absent: Robb.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chair Martin asked for a motion to approve the minutes of May 8, 2024. Mr. Hopkins made a motion to approve the minutes. Mr. Chrisman seconded the motion. The minutes were approved with the following vote: Aye: Bansal, Chrisman, Heckert, Hopkins, Sexton, Thompson, Martin. Nay: none. Abstain: McLane-Iles. Absent: Robb.

OLD BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

1. Public Hearing – *An application to rezone 909 W. Gardner St. from R-3-S, Multifamily Special Residential District to RP-1, Single-Family Planned Residential District.* Chair Martin opened the public hearing at 6:03 p.m.

Mr. Young stated the owner of the property, the City of Kirksville, applied to rezone the property from R-3-S, Multifamily Special Residential District to RP-1, Single-Family Planned Residential District. He stated the packet to members included the application, warranty deed showing the City of Kirksville owned the property, and preliminary development plan. Mr. Young reminded the commission that anytime a planned development application was submitted, a preliminary development plan needed to be submitted along with the application. Mr. Young said the development would include “tiny” homes. He stated the Building Trades Class at the Kirksville Area Technical Center submitted three different designs of homes. If approved, they would be the developer of the homes. The first design would be 728 square feet, the second design would be 704 square feet, with the third being 732 square feet. Mr. Young pointed out many pre-war homes were smaller in square footage. However, compared to new construction seen today, these would be considered considerably smaller, but more affordable.

Mr. Young stated that the zoning regulations would be made in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan, which is a state statute. It also complied with the Think 2040 Plan, which indicated the property should be used as a Single/Two-Family Residential area. Mr. Young stated City Staff supported approval of the request.

Mr. Young explained the width of the lots in the planned development were a few feet shorter than the required width of 50 feet, per the municipal code. He stated the smallest proposed lot was 46 feet, technically 45.99 feet, which they would work with the engineering firm to make 46 feet. He pointed out that the deviation from the lot

width requirement would be allowed through the approval of the preliminary plan. He said lots one and two, immediately adjacent to West Gardner Street, were shown to face West Gardner Street which would normally not be allowed. He stated the ranch-style design chosen for those homes was the first example shown in the packet with 728 square feet. This would allow the homes to face the longer side of the lot width.

Mr. Young pointed out that the most up-to-date version of the preliminary plat was emailed prior to the meeting and printed for the meeting. He explained the revised preliminary plat showed details including the foundations of the homes, driveways, and sidewalks on both sides of the street. He also stated the plan showed the addresses of each lot. Mr. Hopkins asked if the preliminary plat discussion should wait for discussion in the third agenda item. Mr. Young responded that would normally be the case if the request was not a planned district. He stated the reasons for explaining the details since it was for a planned development. Mr. Young pointed out that should the rezoning request not be approved, then approval of the preliminary plat would be impossible. Mr. Young explained the preliminary plat included the proposed design options from the Kirksville Area Technical Center.

PROPOSERS:

Rodney Sadler, Deputy City Manager, 201 South Franklin Street, Kirksville, MO – Mr. Sadler stated this had been a project the city had been working on for quite some time. The project was funded through a grant and pointed out significant improvements had already been completed to the site. He stated this would meet a need in the community for both affordable housing and improvement to that area of the community. He pointed out this provided an opportunity to work with the school district and provided vocational opportunities through their building trades program. He reiterated the proposal complied with the Comprehensive Plan and the Think 2040 Plan.

With no further input, Chair Martin closed the public hearing at 6:12 p.m.

2. Rezone – *A request to rezone 909 W. Gardner St. from R-3-S, Multifamily Special Residential District to RP-1, Single-Family Planned Residential District.* Dr. Sexton made a motion to recommend the City Council approve a request to rezone 909 W. Gardner St. from R-3-S, Multifamily Special Residential District to RP-1, Single-Family Planned Residential District. Mr. Hopkins seconded the motion.

Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles asked about the building of the development. Mr. Young responded the students in the Buildings Trade Class at the Kirksville Area Technical Center would build the homes. The students would be supervised by teacher Mr. McQueen and Jessie Wolfe, Center Director. Due to excitement for the project, he stated Mr. Wolfe had a waiting list for the homes. He stated if approved, they would like to pour the first four home foundations in July, so they would be ready when the academic year began in August. Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles asked if student's participation would be like an internship. Mr. Young responded that would be correct. They would receive hands-on education in all the building trades. He pointed out a few items were subbed out, such as the pouring of the foundations so they were done right the first time. However, those processes were covered from a more academic perspective. Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles inquired about the price point for the homes. Mr. Young replied they would be priced between \$100,000 and \$125,000. He said that was not a firm commitment to the price point, and they would like the price to be lower if possible.

Mr. Thompson mentioned the trades class had built several homes in the Weatherstone area. He asked if other builders would be allowed to build in the development. Mr. Young responded that they hoped the school district would continue to build through the subdivision with four per year. Mr. Thompson asked if people would have to apply to purchase the homes and if there would be any restrictions, or would they be placed for sale when finished. Mr. Young responded that they wished for the homes to be single-family, owner-occupied which was an ongoing discussion on what could be required legally through covenants to ensure the neighborhood did not become owned by one landlord who would perpetuate the cycle of renting instead of home ownership. Chair Martin stated the term "owner-occupied" was the intent of the development.

Mr. Chrisman asked when the first homes were expected to be completed. Mr. Young replied by the end of the upcoming academic year. Chair Martin asked about the first two homes facing Gardner Street. Mr. Young said that if approved ultimately by the City Council, these would deviate from the Municipal Code and face Gardner Street. Mr. Thompson stated the homes would be a mirror image of the proposed drawing. Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles asked if any financial assistance would be available to home buyers. Mr. Young said no assistance from the city had been discussed. However, there were several programs available such as the USDA First-time Homebuyer. Mr. Hopkins mentioned he had several questions, but some had already been addressed. He encouraged that the homes were not sold to landlords who would continue the cycle of poverty. He encouraged those prospective buyers to be made aware of assistance programs such as USDA. Especially lower income people who might not be aware of the assistance opportunities. Mr. Hopkins acknowledged that someone seeking affordable housing would find \$100,000.00 difficult and could possibly have credit card debt and poor credit scores, making obtaining mortgage financing difficult. He believes this would be a benefit to the community.

Mr. Bansal stated he was a big proponent of trades being taught in schools and thought the school district did a great job promoting Vo-Tech. He was pleased to see the city collaborating with the school district. He stated this would be a good step to increasing property values in the area. Mr. Heckert expressed his concern that a single, retired individual would not be able to afford the homes. He asked if the purchaser would be allowed to add a garage or carport due to the lot sizes. Mr. Young responded that was another aspect they would like to handle through a covenant for accessory buildings. He explained he believed the current code would allow for accessory buildings without modifications. He said the accessory buildings for lawnmowers or garages might possibly be regulated to the back portion of the lot through the covenant signed by the purchaser.

Prof. McLane-Iles asked how this would be presented to the public to inform lower-income individuals. Mr. Young responded that the project had been presented to the public for some time via the Communications Director. He stated a video had been released detailing the project and local media had covered the project. He said they would continue to keep the public informed about the project. Mr. Chrisman asked when the determination of the homes built would be made and if the entire subdivision would have to go buy the chosen design. Mr. Young stated that there would be several potential designs, however, plan 181039 would be the plan for the homes along West Gardner Street. He said he was unsure which plan would be chosen for lots three and four and that other home plan choices ranged between 700 to 800 square feet. But, the lots could include an assortment of home designs creating interest and diversity in the neighborhoods while remaining esthetically consistent.

Chair Martin wondered if there would be confusion with the name of the former trailer court being named Dogwood while having a Dogwood Street by the North Park Ball Complex and confusion that could cause for emergency personnel. Mr. Young stated the name choice was a nod to the previous use of the property, as well as the Missouri State Tree. Mr. Hopkins stated he liked the idea of having dogwood trees planted along both sides of the streets. Mr. Young said that the city wished to set a good example as a developer and go above and beyond, which was why sidewalks and trees were included on both sides of the street.

Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles mentioned the email proposal from Mr. Robb regarding a development with the city on property adjacent to the south end of the development. She wondered if that should be considered a separate issue before voting on the current motion. Mr. Young stated Mr. Robb was unable to attend the meeting but submitted feedback on the proposal via email, which was printed for commission members. Due to owning property adjacent to the development, he would have recused himself. However, He wished to see a potential connection made from the development into the wider Spur Pond/Patryla Park Complex, which by extension was a part of the FLATS trailhead. Chair Martin pointed out he thought that discussion would be more appropriate during the discussion of the Preliminary Plat approval so Mr. Sadler could answer questions.

With no further input, the motion was approved with the following vote: Aye: Bansal, Chrisman, Heckert, Hopkins, McLane-Iles, Sexton, Thompson, Martin. Nay: none. Abstain: none. Absent: Robb.

3. Major Subdivision – *The proposed preliminary plat for Dogwood Subdivision to the City of Kirksville, Missouri.* Dr. Sexton made a motion to recommend City Council approve the preliminary plat for Dogwood Subdivision to the City of Kirksville, Missouri. Mr. Thompson seconded the motion.

Chair Martin asked about the possibility of tying the subdivision into the FLATS Trailhead System. He asked if the proposal was consistent with the Parks and Recreation plans and the FLATS Trailhead plan. Mr. Sadler stated a few years ago, a new comprehensive Parks and Recreation Master Plan was approved. He explained since Spur Pond was encompassed within Patryla Park, the whole area would be Patryla Park. Mr. Sadler stated future plans included a nature trail which would start where the new solar bathroom was installed by the Spur Pond parking lot. The trail would weave its way back by the water tower and ballfield. When the trail was first considered, a connection to the proposed development was not considered. However, with the new subdivision being created, there were examples of other trail systems connecting to neighborhoods, such as the North Park Trail connecting to the end of New Street. This would make a connection to the Dogwood Subdivision a possibility. He said a connection had not been discussed, but personally believed a connection would make sense in the future as the area was further developed. Chair Martin asked if it would be a paved trail or natural surfaced trail. Mr. Sadler responded he was under the impression it would be a natural surface trail with a wood chip surfaced or something similar. He stated there was a conversation with a representative from the Land and Water Conservation Fund Grant Program that day regarding the solar bathrooms which indicated a concrete or asphalt trail could be considered even though they did not meet ADA regulations due to not following the slope and grade of the hill as long as other requirements were met. Mr. Hopkins asked if there would be issues with any right-of-way or land ownership to proceed with any kind of trail. Mr. Sadler stated that from the parking lot area, there was an easement to the city for the water tower. There was a property owner to the north of the new solar bathroom. However, from the parking area, a connection could be made going straight back from the property. Mr. Hopkins asked what recourse would be available should the plan be approved and then it was discovered that the connection could not be made. Mr. Sadler pointed out that the preliminary plat submitted had no trail connection. Mr. Hopkins asked if it would be unwise to approve a modified plan that included a connection. Mr. Sadler stated the connection was not currently in the Comprehensive Parks and Recreation Master Plan. Mr. Sadler stated future conversation would be required with the Lakes, Parks, and Recreation Commission and possibly the Airport Transportation Commission for trail development, so more future planning would be needed.

The Commission discussed phase one of the development and phase two would be the optimal place for adding a trail connection when outlining that preliminary plat. Mr. Young stated the southern part of the property still needed to be split into lots and platted. He believed that would allow time for all the necessary processes to be completed for adding a trail connection. Chair Martin stated that only the preliminary plat was being considered at the time. However, he added that once there were several landowners in the development, with something not included in the original agreement, was usually met with resistance from people not wanting something in their backyard. Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles asked if property owners would need to be notified of a change. Mr. Young responded he believed Chair Martin was discussing future ownership of the properties in the second phase and possible pushback from those owners to a trail connection. Mr. Young stated all property owners within 185 feet were notified by certified mail and all legal requirements were met. Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles asked if any input was received. Mr. Young responded no feedback was received, except from fellow commission member, Mr. Robb. Chair Martin stated the plan had been well thought out, including the additional exit.

With no further input, the motion was approved with the following vote: Aye: Chrisman, Heckert, Hopkins, McLane-Iles, Sexton, Thompson, Bansal, Martin. Nay: none. Abstain: none. Absent: Robb.

4. Complete Streets Policy Review – *A second review of the draft Complete Streets Policy for the City of Kirksville, Missouri.* Mr. Thompson made a motion to recommend City Council approve a Complete Streets Policy for the City of Kirksville, Missouri. Mr. Hopkins seconded the motion.

Chair Martin asked if there was any commission discussion. Mr. Thompson asked if there were any changes in the draft since the previous presentation. Mr. Young replied there were none. He did note that at the second

presentation to the Lakes, Park, and Recreation Commission, there was a significant amount of input and suggestions received such as adding references to specific standards used for the development of streets and sidewalks as examples. They also suggested cross-referencing other relevant policies and ordinances of the city. Mr. Young mentioned a meeting with department heads would be needed to add that information, but they would look at incorporating some of those requests so the policy could move forward. He mentioned no other commissions had offered any changes or additions. Mr. Hopkins believed there was a typo when referencing the USOT and believed it should be USDOT in the staff report. Mr. Young stated that was correct.

Chair Martin asked if future subdivisions would be subject to the Complete Streets Policy. Mr. Young replied they would be subject to the municipal code requirements as they exist now, unless they were to be changed. He stated a developer was always allowed to go above and beyond the current requirements, which were minimum standards. The commission discussed how the policy would be a “moving or living” document in which the standards and/or policies could be updated or changed at any time. Mr. Young mentioned that when the policies referenced were added, those would need updated as well.

With no further input, the motion was approved with the following vote: Aye: Heckert, Hopkins, McLane-Iles, Sexton, Thompson, Bansal, Chrisman, Martin. Nay: none. Abstain: none. Absent: Robb.

5. Commission Appointment – Appointment of a Commission member representative to the Kirksville Historic Preservation Commission (KHPC).

Mr. Young reported that each year, the Planning and Zoning Commission appoints a representative to the Kirksville Historical Preservation Commission (KHPC). He stated the two commissions were linked through the municipal code and the State Preservation Office under the Department of Natural Resources and how those processes function. He explained when property owners applied to have their property designated as local landmark, that request would be considered by the KHPC. They would make a recommendation to the Planning & Zoning Commission, which would then make a recommendation to the City Council. He stated for several years, Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles had served as the representative to the KHPC and attends regularly and was actively involved with the commission.

Chair Martin recommended Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles be nominated to continue her service as the representative to KHPC. Mr. Thompson seconded the motion. Prof. Dr. McLane-Iles expressed her willingness to continue the representation to the KHPC. With no other recommendations, the motion was approved with the following vote: Aye: Bansal, Chrisman, Heckert, Hopkins, Sexton, Thompson, Martin. Nay: none. Abstain: McLane-Iles. Absent: Robb.

CITIZEN / STAFF / COMMISSION INPUT

None.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, Mr. Chrisman made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Heckert seconded the motion. Chair Martin declared the meeting adjourned at 6:48 p.m.

Teresa Dorris
Recording Secretary

Staff Report – KAMP Striping Plans

City staff have evaluated the Planning and Zoning Commission recommended – and City Council-approved – striping plans from previous years as part of the City’s ongoing implementation of the Kirksville Active Mobility Plan (KAMP). Please see below:

2019

- Osteopathy Street from West Elizabeth Street to West Scott Street:
 - Two driving lanes and two bicycle lanes, one on each side of the street, from West Elizabeth Street to West Burton Street: **COMPLETED**
 - Two driving lanes, one bicycle lane on the east side of the street, and parking on the west side of the street from West Burton Street to the southern property line of Jaycee Park. The bicycle lane on the west side of the street will transition into, and out of, the driving lane where the parking spaces are located through the use of a bicycle traffic merge symbol. Within this section, the western driving lane will contain street sharrows: **COMPLETED**
 - Two driving lanes and two bicycle lanes, one on each side of the street, from the southern property line of Jaycee Park to West Gardner Street: **COMPLETED**
 - Two driving lanes with street sharrows from West Gardner Street to West Missouri Street / Missouri Trail: **COMPLETED**
 - Two driving lanes and two bicycle lanes, one on each side of the street, from West Missouri Street / Missouri Trail to West Scott Street: **COMPLETED**

2021

- North Elson Street from West Cottonwood Street to West Illinois Street:
 - Two driving lanes and two bicycle lanes, one on each side of the street, from West Cottonwood Street to West Illinois Street: **COMPLETED**
 - Addition of a crosswalk at intersection of North Elson Street and West Hickory Street: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**
 - Addition of a crosswalk at North Elson Street and West Buchanan Street: **COMPLETED**
 - Addition of a crosswalks at North Elson Street and West Illinois Street: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**
 - Reconfiguration of parking from perpendicular parking to parallel parking on North Elson Street from West Buchanan Street to West Illinois Street: **COMPLETED**
- Illinois Street from North Elson Street to North Marion Street:
 - Street sharrows on Illinois Street from North Elson Street to North Marion Street: **COMPLETED**
 - Addition of a crosswalk at North Elson Street: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**

- Addition of crosswalks at North Franklin Street: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**
- Addition of crosswalks at North Marion Street: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**
- East LaHarpe Street at Cottage Grove Place:
 - Addition of a crosswalk at East LaHarpe Street at Cottage Grove Place: **COMPLETED**

2022

- Street sharrows on Walnut Street from West Burton Street to West Potter Avenue: **COMPLETED**
- Street sharrows on Lincoln Street from Route P to Maple Street: **COMPLETED (and striped to Emmett Street; see plan in 2024 recommendations)**
- Street sharrows on West Cottonwood Street from North Osteopathy Street to North Centennial Street: **COMPLETED (should have requested street sharrows to North Elson Street; see plan in 2024 recommendations)**
- Street sharrows on Cottage Grove Avenue from East Illinois Street to East Patterson Street: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**
- Street sharrows on East Jefferson Street from South Baltimore Street to Cottage Grove Avenue: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**
- Street sharrows on East Patterson Street from South Baltimore Street to Cottage Grove Avenue: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**
- Street sharrows on West LaHarpe Street from RR ROW to First Street: **INCOMPLETE (scheduled for 2024)**
- Bike Lane on East LaHarpe Street from South Franklin Street to South Baltimore Street: **COMPLETED**

The Street Maintenance Division of the Public Works Department plans to complete all approved striping designated above as “INCOMPLETE” during the course of this year (i.e. 2024).

For the 2024 striping plan, the following striping plan is presented for a recommendation to the City Council. Outside of the first two recommendations below, these areas have been, or are being, improved through the 2024 Paving Program and lay within KAMP Focus Corridors:

2024

- Street sharrows on North Lincoln Street from Maple Street to Emmett Street (to retroactively approve the striping already completed in this area)
- Street sharrows on West Cottonwood Street from North Centennial Street to North Elson Street (to complete the street sharrows on new pavement)
- Street sharrows on Cottage Grove Avenue from East Patterson Street to East Hamilton Street

- Street sharrows on East Patterson Street from South Baltimore Street to South Franklin Street
- Street sharrows on Halliburton Street from East Jefferson Street to East Patterson Street

The first two recommendations listed above are to address street striping that either was completed, or should have been completed, as part of the 2022 striping plan. The last three will be made *pending* review by the City Engineer. The City Engineer would like to evaluate streets sharrows in these areas in light of the existing speed limit. To review, these recommendations are taken from the Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) study of KAMP Focus Corridors completed in 2020.

It should be noted that the 2024 Paving Program includes work within other KAMP Focus Corridors where City staff simply do not have the funding secured, or planning completed, to move forward with the TEAP study recommendations mentioned above (or, in one instance, no change was recommended). In the interest of transparency, those areas are as follows:

- Dear Street, from North New Street to Woodland Drive: **2020 TEAP Study of KAMP calls for a sidewalk with a parkway connecting to a future trail system. The City is not prepared to move forward with this plan at this time.**
- Woodland Drive, from East Meadow Lane to Dear Street: **2020 TEAP Study of KAMP calls for a sidewalk with a parkway connecting to a future trail system. The City is not prepared to move forward with this plan at this time.**
- Cottage Grove Avenue, from Overbrook Drive to East Illinois Street: No Change
- West Burton Street, from North Main Street to North Elson Street: **2020 TEAP Study of KAMP calls for sharrows and a sidewalk with a parkway on the north side. The City is not prepared to move forward with this plan at this time (should be a part of a larger Burton Street project).**

Beyond street striping, considerable progress is planned in completing other elements of KAMP implementation, specifically in regards to sidewalks.

A MoDOT Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) grant was secured to assist with the construction of 2,114 ft. of sidewalk in Rotary Park. The section of North New Street on the west side of Rotary Park lays within a KAMP Focus Corridor, which means this grant is assisting with the implementation of the KAMP by constructing sidewalk within a Focus Corridor for easier pedestrian access to one of the City's most active parks.

A MoDOT TAP grant was also secured to connect the new Forest Lake Area Trail System (FLATS) Trailhead to the Downtown by adding 1,545 ft. of sidewalk along the north side of West Washington Street. This section of West Washington Street is also a KAMP Focus Corridor, and this project will at long last realize the goal of connecting our Downtown to FLATS.

Of the \$140,542 in the 2024 Budget for general KAMP implementation, \$35,000 has been allocated to purchase supplies, etc. for the completion of the street striping discussed at the beginning of this report. The remainder will go towards increasing the City's match for the two TAP grants mentioned above due to higher than anticipated project costs.

Looking forward to future KAMP implementation projects, the addition of 1,400 ft. of trail from North Baltimore Street to North New Street / Pear Tree Lane within the old BNSF railroad right-of-way is within a KAMP Focus Corridor. This project was also made possible in part through a MoDOT TAP grant, the match for which is planned to be included in the City's 2025 Budget.

Community Impact: Improved accessibility.